

In the United States District Court for the Southern District  
of Illinois

William Mabie  
PLAINTIFF

V.

Alton City Jail  
Alton Police Department  
RESPONDENTS

FILED

APR 22 2015

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

T. B. A.

15cv449 SMY/SCW

PETITION TO ENFORCE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION  
ACT, COURT ORDERED RESPONSE

Comes now plaintiff acting pro se requesting this honorable  
Court to order respondents to comply with Freedom of  
Information Act.

Plaintiff sought, and seeks copy\* of calls made to  
Alton City Jail, on 3/12/15, between 1:00 a.m. and 3:30 a.m.,  
made by United States Marshals, or on Marshals behalf, concerning  
plaintiff. Secondly, plaintiff sought and seeks copy\* of call made  
to Alton City Jail's Custodian of records, purportedly on  
4/2/15, by Deputy United States Marshal - Tom Woods - regarding  
retrieval of the 3/12/15 call previously noted.

Plaintiff went through Alton City Jail's grievance procedure.  
Plaintiff sought said information through filing a Freedom  
of Information Act request. Request denied on 4/17/15 in person.

\*"Copy" being transcript, or audio recording. PRINTOUT OF "SCREEN"

On morning of 4/17/15 plaintiff met with Sergeant Cramer, and the Chief of Police, and discussed this request.

Sergeant Cramer stated he would not turn over said calls, as United States Marshall Service had not instructed him to do so; Sergeant Cramer went on to say that the U.S.M.S. led him to believe the Court (United States District Court for Southern District of Illinois) had ordered such call not to be turned over, and would not comply until Court or USMS instructed him to do so.

Plaintiff informed Sgt Cramer <sup>that he was misled</sup> - Court (Judge Reagan) had only addressed a subpoena request, in which D.U.S.M. Tom Woods portrayed recovery of such call as an arduous task. (As Sgt Cramer has isolated and preserved such call - this is clearly not the case) Judge Reagan did not, and could not have addressed a Freedom of Information Act request, as hearing was 4/3/15, PRIOR to request being made, further, the U.S.M.S. does not control FOIA for any entity other than U.S.M.S.

- Clearly such information requested falls under the area of the F.O.I.A. .

Given the gravity of the issue, assault, obstruction of Justice, the Court should order production of items sought forthwith.

COPY GIVEN TO RESPONDENT\* THROUGH IN HOUSE  
MAIL THIS DATE - 4/17/15

Signature guarantees all statements herein are true under  
penalty of perjury 18 USC 174B

William Prabie

\* not a signature

8801-10-0000



**US POSTAGE**  
**\$ 00.48**  
**First-Class**

## First-Class

Mailed From 62002

04/20/2015

032A 0061806620